Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,809	BAMELIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BOBBY H. MUROMOTO JR	3765
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) BOBBY H. MUROMOTO JR.	(3)	
(2) Ernest Kinney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 February 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative	9]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes if Yes, brief description:	e)[2] No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 21.		
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☑ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: With respect to claim amendments to claim 21 to bring the scope of claim 21 to be commensurate to claim 1 and winning the case into condition for allowance.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCS OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04.) If a reply to the last Office action has already been fled. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OR THE MAIL MODE OF THE THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everes side or on attached sheet.		